UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Western District of North Carolina

| Varren Lewis Carlton Case No: 5:99CR11-6 USM No: 13973-058 USD Date of Previous Judgment: 2/28/00 J. Charles Jones Defendant's Atorney Defendant's Atorney Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reft the last judgment issued) of | |
|--|------------|
| Date of Previous Judgment: 2/28/00 J. Charles Jones | |
| Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S.S.S. § 3582(c)(2) to a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S. § 994(u), and having considered such motion, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as refine the last judgment issued) of months is reduced to COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures) Previous Offense Level: 33 Amended Offense Level: 31 Criminal History Category: VI Criminal History Category: VI Previous Guideline Range: 235 to 293 months Amended Guideline Range: 188 to 235 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than amended guideline range. Other (explain): | |
| Upon motion of ■ the defendant □ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons □ the court under 18 U.S. \$3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S. \$994(u), and having considered such motion, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as refit the last judgment issued) of | |
| Upon motion of the defendant the Director of the Bureau of Prisons the court under 18 U.S \$3582(e)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S \$994(u), and having considered such motion, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as refitte last judgment issued) of months is reduced to COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures) Previous Offense Level: 33 Amended Offense Level: 31 Criminal History Category: VI Criminal History Category: VI Previous Guideline Range: 235 to 293 months Amended Guideline Range: 188 to 235 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than amended guideline range. Other (explain): HII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Although the guideline range decreased, the defendant is still subject to the mandatory minimum senter months previously imposed. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/28/00 shall remain in effect. | |
| \$ 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.\$ \$ 994(u), and having considered such motion, IT IS ORDERED that the motion is: DENIED. GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as refit the last judgment issued) of months is reduced to I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures) Previous Offense Level: 33 Amended Offense Level: 31 Criminal History Category: VI Criminal History Category: VI Previous Guideline Range: 235 to 293 months Amended Guideline Range: 188 to 235 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than amended guideline range. Other (explain): III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Although the guideline range decreased, the defendant is still subject to the mandatory minimum senter months previously imposed. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/28/00 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| ■ DENIED. □ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflethe last judgment issued) of | |
| Amended Offense Level: 33 | ected in |
| Previous Offense Level: 33 Amended Offense Level: 31 Criminal History Category: VI Criminal History Category: VI Namended Guideline Range: 235 to 293 months Amended Guideline Range: 188 to 235 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than amended guideline range. Other (explain): III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Although the guideline range decreased, the defendant is still subject to the mandatory minimum senter months previously imposed. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/28/00 shall remain in effect. III IS SO ORDERED. | |
| Criminal History Category: VI 235 to 293 months Amended Guideline Range: VI 188 to 235 H. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than amended guideline range. Other (explain): HII. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Although the guideline range decreased, the defendant is still subject to the mandatory minimum senter months previously imposed. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/28/00 shall remain in effect. HII. SO ORDERED. | |
| Previous Guideline Range: 235 to 293 months Amended Guideline Range: 188 to 235 II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than amended guideline range. Other (explain): III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Although the guideline range decreased, the defendant is still subject to the mandatory minimum senter months previously imposed. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/28/00 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range. The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than amended guideline range. Other (explain): THI. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS Although the guideline range decreased, the defendant is still subject to the mandatory minimum sentent months previously imposed. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/28/00 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. | months |
| Although the guideline range decreased, the defendant is still subject to the mandatory minimum senter months previously imposed. Therefore, Amendment 706 has no effect on the defendant's sentence. Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated 2/28/00 shall remain in effect. IT IS SO ORDERED. | |
| IT IS SO ORDERED. | ice of 120 |
| | |
| Outlan Datas | |
| Order Date: August 4, 2008 | |
| Effective Date: | |
| (if different from order date) Richard L. Voorhees United States District Judge | |

Page 1 of 1